Effect of Road and Energy Infrastructure on Rural Economy: A Case Study of Igabi LGA Area of Kaduna State

Usman Umar-Faruq Geidam[1], Shiekuma John Kavkav[1], Samuel Fidelis[1], Samuel Friday Labaran[1], Mohammed Labaran Sani[1]
Department of Economics, Kaduna State University, Kaduna, Nigeria

Other Authors' Contact Information:
Usman Umar-Faruq Geidam – Phone: +2347033407637
Samuel Fidelis – Email: fidelissamuel1990@gmail.com | Phone: +2347068859582
Samuel Friday Labaran – Email: sammylabson2012@gmail.com | Phone: +2347036575072
Mohammed Labaran Sani – Email: mohammedsani1996@gmail.com | Phone: +2347065885070
Corresponding Author: Shiekuma John Kavkav
Email: kavkavshiekuma@gmail.com
Phone: +2347034517194

Abstract
Rural economies in Sub-Saharan Africa continue to face persistent structural constraints, with inadequate infrastructure remaining a major barrier to inclusive development. In Nigeria, particularly in rural communities of Kaduna State, weak road networks and unreliable electricity supply continue to undermine productivity, restrict market participation, and sustain rural poverty. This study examines the effect of road and energy infrastructure on the rural economy in Igabi Local Government Area (LGA) of Kaduna State. The study adopts a descriptive survey research design, relying exclusively on primary data collected through structured questionnaires administered to 150 respondents drawn from six selected rural wards in Igabi LGA. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and chi-square inferential technique to test the relationship between road infrastructure and market access. Findings reveal that 61.3% of respondents rated road conditions as poor, while 77.3% experienced difficulty accessing markets. In addition, 81.3% reported irregular or no electricity supply. The chi-square result (χ^2=67.2, p=0.001) confirms a statistically significant relationship between road infrastructure and market access. The study further shows that poor roads increase transportation costs and post-harvest losses, while unreliable electricity reduces business productivity and household income. The study recommends a coordinated policy response involving the Federal Ministry of Works and Housing, Kaduna State Ministry of Works, and the Rural Electrification Agency (REA), focusing on rehabilitation of feeder roads and deployment of decentralized renewable energy solutions such as solar mini-grids. These interventions are expected to reduce transport costs, improve market access, enhance rural enterprise productivity, and strengthen household income levels.

Keywords: Rural Infrastructure; Road Network; Energy Supply; Rural Economy; Igabi
JEL Codes: H54, O18, Q43, R11, O55

Introduction
Infrastructure has been a critical economic development tool, especially in rural settings where the access to basic services and opportunities is disproportionate. Rural change is dependent on availability and quality of road and energy infrastructure across the world. Poor infrastructure in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) remains a constraint to agricultural productivity,

 Copyright © 2026 KIJSOSE All Rights Reserved

market access, and human development. The World Bank notes that almost 60 percent of the rural population in Africa resides over 2 kilometers (more than 6,000 feet) away from an all-season road, which hinders mobility and socio-economic development (Adeyemi-Kayode, Misra, and Damaševičius, 2021). Access to electricity is also an ongoing issue, as less than half of rural inhabitants in SSA have access to reliable electricity, and there is one of the lowest electrification rates in the world (Abdullahi et al., 2024).

The situation is no less worrying in Nigeria. The rural development is still a setback in the country despite it having one of the biggest economies in Africa because of poor road accessibility and unreliable energy supply. There is empirical evidence that indicates a stable association between poor infrastructure and low rural economic performance (Saheed & Obianuju, 2021). The rural economy, whose main economic activities include agriculture and informal trade, is affected by power crises (power outages), delays in transportation, wastage of perishable goods, and wastage of productive hours (Adigwe, Ja'e, Buba, Nasir, & Bala, 2025a). In addition, the conditions of roads in most of the local government areas, especially in Northern Nigeria, are poor, isolating communities during rainy seasons and limiting access to education, health, and markets (Muhammed, Sulaiman, Bello, Abdulkadir, and Baba, 2023). A good example is Igabi Local Government Area of Kaduna State. Located in the North corridor of the Kaduna Metropolis, the area experiences not only a haphazard electricity supply but also poor road networks, which have not only crippled the local economy but also eroded livelihoods (Adigwe et al., 2025a; Yahaya, Salahudeen, and Zubairu, 2021). Although the similar problems have been researched in both Kaduna metropolitan areas and peri-urban areas (Abdullahi, n.d.; Habila, 2021), the direct and indirect influence of road and energy infrastructure on the economic state of rural areas in Igabi have not been studied thoroughly.
The productivity, mobility and poverty reduction implications of Igabi existing infrastructure gap. According to Sani, Odoh, Isa, and Ibrahim (n.d.), rural roads are a cornerstone of connecting socio-economic services. When these roads are either not passable or in poor conditions, the isolation that ensues strengthens poverty traps. Similarly, small-scale agro-processing, household income generation, and overall quality of life are impacted by the inconsistency in electricity supply (Adigwe et al., 2025b). These shortages further deter investment and lead to migration into cities (Nicolle, Sempere, Pfister, Ojwang, and Vezzoli, 2024).

This paper aims at questioning the impacts of road and energy infrastructure on the rural economy, through the case study of Igabi LGA. In particular, it will: focus on how road conditions impact market access and rural livelihoods; determine the impact of energy availability on economic activity and household income; and determine policy gaps that create infrastructural underperformance in the area. Based on spatial and socio-economic data, the research identifies the quality of infrastructure with the economic vitality in rural areas and provides information applicable to the local development policies. Through this lens, it contributes to the broader discourse on how sustainable infrastructure investments can unlock economic opportunities and enhance resilience in underserved communities.

Literature Review

The relationship between infrastructure development and the rural economic growth has been a common topic both in the international and domestic scholarly literature. The increased productivity rates, the ease of market access, and the overall well-being of the rural residents have long been the focus of the scholars claiming that the availability of good roads and constant energy supply contributes to the improvement of the conditions (Adeyemi-Kayode, et al 2021). However, in Sub-Saharan Africa infrastructural investments have been decentralized,

 Copyright © 2026 KIJSOSE All Rights Reserved

and the focus has mostly been on urban centers leaving the rural areas with crumbling roads and unstable electricity supply to paralyze the economic development (Abdullahi et al., 2024; Saheed and Obianuju, 2021).

In Nigeria Saheed and Obianuju (2021) evaluated the socio-economic infrastructure between rural economy and socio-economic infrastructure in Kaduna state, highlighting that the road and electricity infrastructure are key determinants of agricultural profitability, household income, and access to services. Their research shows that rural poverty is amplified by poor infrastructure as communities are isolated and their economic mobility diminished. Equally, Muhammed, et' al (2023) investigated the effects of roads on the population dynamics and economic activity in Kaduna metropolis. Their results suggested that not only physical connectors, roads are also economic lifelines that decrease transportation costs, encourage investment, and affect land use patterns.

Yahaya, et' al (2021) identified transport issues in rural areas in Soba Local Government Area, Kaduna State. They emphasized the fact that the rural roads are in poor condition and this slows the transportation of farm produce, increases transportation costs, and discourages entrepreneurship. They find their analysis in agreement with the study by Sani (2024), who also added that the availability of roads can result in an improvement in school attendance, the use of health services, and farmgate prices.

Regarding the energy infrastructure, Adigwe, et' al (2025a) carried out a research on the topic in Igabi LGA, observing the socio-economic effects of intermittent power supply of electricity. They found that common power outages directly affected microenterprises, household welfare, and education outcomes. Companies that depend on refrigeration, welding, tailoring, and agro-processing usually work less or close completely, resulting in loss of income and employment (Adigwe et al., 2025b). Similarly, Adeyemi-Kayode et al. (2021) assessed the possibilities of renewable energy in West Africa, highlighting that decentralized energy technologies, especially solar, would enhance energy accessibility in underserved rural populations.

In addition to electricity, Abdullahi et al. (2024) have facilitated the articulation of the space aspect of energy infrastructure by their spatial examination of Kaduna Metropolis, which emphasized the disproportionality of the solar PV installations in different areas. Although urban-based, the approach of this research provides insights on energy planning in rural areas, especially in such settings as Igabi where grid-based electricity is still ineffective. There are also road and energy infrastructure that overlap with bigger socio-political and environmental issues. Indicatively, by highlighting the fact that rapid urbanization and inappropriate planning in Kaduna have resulted in water stress and infrastructural deterioration, Ubale and Benjamin (2023) noted that the condition has impacted the economy of nearby rural areas indirectly. On the same note, Abdulkadir (2025a) proposed that disconnection in governance and ineffective institutional response to local demands in Kaduna State has also led to infrastructural inequality between the urban and rural areas.

The environmental dimensions are also applicable. Musa et al (2020) studied the water quality in Igabi, attributing poor planning and infrastructure decay to environmental hazards. This supplement the results of Umar, et al (2025), who pointed out that there is low environmental sustainability awareness among residents of urban areas- a factor that tends to be translated into unsustainable behaviors in the rural settlements near cities. Regarding theoretical foundations, the interrelationship between infrastructure and the rural economy has its roots in development economics which assumes that infrastructure public investment creates

 Copyright © 2026 KIJSOSE All Rights Reserved

externalities that spur the growth of the private sector and that spatial inequality can be reduced. The infrastructure acts as an enabler and facilitator of productivity as it reduces transaction costs, increases access to resources, and adds value to the value chain (Sani et al., 2024.; Saheed and Obianuju, 2021).

Even though more and more research has been conducted, there are still a number of gaps. Most of the literature focuses on road and energy infrastructure separately as opposed to analyzing their interactions on rural livelihoods. Others make aggregate state-level analyses, and micro-level dynamics at the local government level have barely been probed. This study fills that gap by exploring the twofold effect of road and energy infrastructure on the rural economy of Igabi LGA- an area that is representative of the infrastructural issues that most peri-urban and rural regions in northern Nigeria experience.

Methodology

This study adopted a descriptive survey research design to examine the effect of road and energy infrastructure on the rural economy in Igabi Local Government Area (LGA) of Kaduna State, Nigeria. The descriptive survey design was considered appropriate because the study sought to obtain empirical data directly from respondents regarding existing infrastructural conditions and their implications for rural economic activities and livelihoods. The design enabled the researcher to systematically describe, analyze, and interpret the perceptions and experiences of respondents without manipulating the study variables (Adigwe et al, 2025a; Yahaya et al (2021).

The study relied exclusively on primary data collected through the administration of structured questionnaires. The questionnaire was designed to generate quantitative data on key variables such as road accessibility, electricity supply, transportation costs, market access, business productivity, and household income. Respondents comprised rural households, farmers, traders, artisans, and small-scale business operators within selected communities in Igabi LGA. The use of structured questionnaires ensured uniformity in data collection and enhanced the reliability and comparability of responses across the sampled population. The choice of the descriptive survey design was further justified by its suitability for socio-economic and infrastructural studies that require firsthand information from a relatively large population. The design provides an effective framework for examining relationships between infrastructure conditions and rural economic outcomes within their natural setting. In addition, the survey approach facilitates the collection of data within a relatively short period and at a lower cost compared to experimental or longitudinal designs.

The geographical scope of the study was limited to selected rural communities within Igabi LGA, Kaduna State, with emphasis on the influence of road and energy infrastructure on rural economic performance. Igabi LGA is a region in the Kaduna State and borders with sections of Kaduna metropolis and other rural society. It is characterized by agricultural possibilities and semi-urban villages. The region is characterized by a high rate of population growth and yet still remains plagued by inadequate roads and unreliable power supply, as reported in previous studies (Adigwe et al., 2025a; Musa, Otuaro, Musa, Dada, and Musa, 2020). These issues render it an appropriate subject to investigate the interaction between infrastructure and economic livelihood in rural Northern Nigeria.

The target population for this study comprised rural households, farmers, traders, artisans, and small-scale business owners in Igabi Local Government Area (LGA) of Kaduna State. A multistage sampling technique involving purposive and simple random sampling methods was

 Copyright © 2026 KIJSOSE All Rights Reserved

employed. At the first stage, six rural wards, Afaka, Birnin Yero, Gadan Gayan, Gwaraji, Kerawa, and Sabon Birnin Daji, were purposively selected from the twelve wards in Igabi LGA based on the prevalence of poor road conditions, irregular electricity supply, and the dominance of rural economic activities. The purposive selection of these wards was necessary to ensure that the study focused on communities directly affected by infrastructural deficiencies relevant to the objectives of the study. At the second stage, simple random sampling was used to select respondents from households and small business operators within the selected wards. The use of simple random sampling ensured equal chances of participation among eligible respondents and minimized selection bias. The combination of purposive and random sampling techniques was considered appropriate for generating representative and reliable data on the effects of road and energy infrastructure on the rural economy in Igabi LGA.

The sample size for this study was determined using the Taro Yamane (1967) formula for finite populations. The formula is expressed as:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2}$$

Where:
n = sample size
N = total population
e = level of precision (margin of error)
The estimated population of economically active respondents, comprising rural households, farmers, traders, artisans, and small-scale business operators across the six selected wards in Igabi LGA, was obtained from community records and local administrative estimates during the preliminary field survey conducted by the researcher in 2026. The accessible population for the study was estimated at 240 respondents. A 5% margin of error was adopted in line with social science research standards.
Substituting into the formula:

$$n = \frac{400}{1 + 400(0.05)^2}$$
$$n = \frac{400}{1 + 400(0.0025)}$$
$$n = \frac{400}{1 + 0.6}$$
$$n = \frac{400}{1.6}$$
$$n = 150$$

Therefore, the study arrived at a sample size of 150 respondents. This sample size was considered adequate for generating reliable and representative data on the effects of road and energy infrastructure on the rural economy in Igabi Local Government Area of Kaduna State. Descriptive statistics including frequencies, percentages, and mean scores were used to analyze quantitative data. Cross-tabulations have been used to analyze the correlation of infrastructure quality with the chosen economic variables including household income, access to markets and employment. Inferential statistics (chi-square test) were applied where suitable to ascertain whether a relationship observed was significant.

Interpretations of qualitative responses of interviews were thematic. The responses were identified into groups that depicted some of the major themes including accessibility, service delivery, livelihood impact and coping mechanisms. This type of analysis aligns with the grounded method of the works by Adigwe et al. (2025a) and Yahaya et al. (2021), who

 Copyright © 2026 KIJSOSE All Rights Reserved

presented the macro-level trends through local voices. The research was conducted following the ethical procedures. The participants were informed of the purpose of the study, their participation in the study was voluntary and confidentiality was maintained. Interviews and administering questionnaires were done with verbal consent.

Results

This section shows the empirical conclusions of this study and a critical discussion based on the existing literature. The findings have been presented according to the aims of the study, concentrating on the impacts of road infrastructure and energy supply to the rural economy in Igabi LGA

Socio-Economic Characteristics of the respondents.

Knowledge of the background of respondents gives a context of the interpretation of the infrastructural impact on rural livelihoods.

Table 1: Socio-Economic Profile of Respondents (n = 150)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	92	61.3
	Female	58	38.7
Occupation	Farming	65	43.3
	Trading	38	25.3
	Artisan	27	18.0
	Others	20	13.4
Access to Electricity	Regular	28	18.7
	Irregular	89	59.3
	No Access	33	22.0

Source: *Author's computation, 2026*

The predominance of the farmers (43.3) indicates the agrarian character of Igabi LGA. An alarming 81.3 percent of respondents report either inconsistent or no power supply, which alludes to the concerns of Adigwe, et al (2025a) about the unreliability of electricity in the region.

Condition of Road Infrastructure and Market Access

Table 2: Perception of Road Conditions and Market Access

Variable	Response Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Road Condition	Good	21	14.0
	Fair	37	24.7
	Poor	92	61.3
Ease of Market Access	Easy	34	22.7
	Difficult	116	77.3

Source: *Author's computation, 2026*

Most (61.3) ranked the road conditions as poor, with 77.3% stating that they had a hard time accessing markets. This implies that there is a direct relationship between economic participation and road infrastructure. These results are in line with Sani, Odoh, et al (2023.), who pointed out that inadequate rural roads limit access to socio-economic opportunities.

Copyright © 2026 KIJSOSE All Rights Reserved

Impact of Road Infrastructure on Rural Livelihoods

Table 3: Effects of Road Infrastructure on Economic Activities

Indicator	Agree (%)	Disagree (%)
High transport cost due to bad roads	82.0	18.0
Post-harvest losses due to delays	76.7	23.3
Reduced frequency of market participation	69.3	30.7

Source: *Author's computation, 2026*

The results indicate that a bad road infrastructure contributes greatly to the cost of transportation and post-harvest losses. This is in line with Muhammed et al (2023), who discovered that the quality of roads has a strong impact on the economic activities and population engagement.

Impact of Energy Infrastructure on Economic Activities

Table 4: Effects of Electricity Supply on Rural Economy

Indicator	Agree (%)	Disagree (%)
Power outages reduce business productivity	85.3	14.7
Increased reliance on alternative energy	72.0	28.0
Reduced income due to energy shortages	78.7	21.3

Source: *Author's computation, 2026*

The findings show that untrustworthy electricity greatly interferes with economic operations. A significant percentage (85.3) indicated a decrease in productivity, which is also supported by previous studies by Adigwe et al. (2025a). Depending on the alternative sources of energy also shows the energy gap that Adeyemi-Kayode, et al (2021) are pointing to.

Chi-Square Test of Relationship Between Road Infrastructure and Market Access

To determine whether there was a statistically significant relationship between road infrastructure and market access in Igabi LGA, a chi-square (χ^2) test of independence was conducted.

Table 5: Chi-Square Test Result for Road Condition and Market Access

Variables	χ^2 Value	df	p-value	Decision
Road Condition and Market Access	67.25	2	0.001	Significant

Source: Author's Computation, 2026

The chi-square test result produced a calculated value of $\chi^2 = 67.25$ with 2 degrees of freedom and a p-value less than 0.05 (p=0.001). Since the p-value is lower than the 5% level of significance, the null hypothesis of no significant relationship was rejected. This implies that there is a statistically significant relationship between road infrastructure and market access in Igabi Local Government Area. The finding revealed that that poor road conditions significantly affect the ability of rural dwellers to access markets, transport goods, and participate effectively in economic activities. The result further confirms the descriptive findings of the study, where a majority of respondents reported that deteriorating roads increased transportation costs and reduced market participation. The result is consistent with the findings of Yahaya et al (2021) and Sani, et al (2025), who observed that inadequate rural transportation infrastructure constrains socio-economic activities and limits rural development opportunities.

Copyright © 2026 KIJSOSE All Rights Reserved

Discussion

The results of this study present a coherent pattern of infrastructural deprivation and its implications for rural economic outcomes in Igabi Local Government Area (LGA). Across the socio-economic profile, infrastructure perception, and inferential analysis, a consistent narrative emerges: road and energy infrastructure deficits significantly constrain rural livelihoods, productivity, and market participation.

From Table 4.1, the socio-economic composition of respondents reflects a predominantly agrarian economy, with 43.3% engaged in farming, followed by trading (25.3%) and artisan activities (18.0%). This structure is typical of rural economies in Northern Nigeria, where agriculture remains the primary livelihood. However, what is more striking is the energy access condition, where 59.3% of respondents experience irregular electricity supply and 22.0% have no access at all, totaling 81.3% with unreliable power. This finding reinforces the concerns raised by Adigwe et al. (2025a), who observed that epileptic electricity supply in Igabi LGA undermines microenterprise productivity, household welfare, and income stability.

In Table 4.2, the perception of road infrastructure further highlights systemic deficiencies. A significant proportion of respondents (61.3%) described road conditions as poor, while 77.3% reported difficulty accessing markets. This clearly signals that transport infrastructure is not merely inadequate but functionally restrictive. The implication is that economic participation is structurally constrained by physical accessibility. This aligns with Sani, Odoh, Isa, and Ibrahim (n.d.), who emphasized that rural road conditions directly shape access to socio-economic opportunities and determine the extent of rural integration into broader markets.

Table 4.3 deepens this understanding by showing the lived economic consequences of poor road infrastructure. A high proportion of respondents agreed that bad roads increase transportation costs (82.0%), contribute to post-harvest losses (76.7%), and reduce market participation frequency (69.3%). These findings are consistent with Muhammed, Sulaiman, Bello, Abdulkadir, and Baba (2023), who demonstrated that road infrastructure plays a decisive role in shaping economic activity, market efficiency, and spatial connectivity in Kaduna State. The implication here is that poor roads do not only affect mobility but directly erode rural income through inefficiencies and losses along the value chain.

Similarly, Table 4.4 reveals a strong negative effect of electricity instability on rural economic activities. A substantial majority (85.3%) reported that power outages reduce business productivity, while 78.7% experienced reduced income due to energy shortages. The reliance on alternative energy sources (72.0%) further indicates systemic failure in formal energy supply. These findings corroborate Adigwe et al. (2025a), who documented similar disruptions in Igabi LGA, and align with Adeyemi-Kayode, Misra, and Damaševičius (2021), who emphasized the broader energy deficit across West Africa as a constraint to economic transformation.

The inferential analysis in Table 4.5 provides statistical validation of these relationships. The chi-square test result (χ^2=67.25, df = 2, p = 0.001) confirms a statistically significant association between road condition and market access. Since the p-value is below the 0.05 threshold, the null hypothesis of no relationship was rejected. This implies that road infrastructure is not randomly associated with market access; rather, it is a determinant factor influencing the ability of rural dwellers to participate in economic exchange. This result strengthens the descriptive findings and provides empirical grounding for the observed pattern of restricted mobility and reduced market participation. It also aligns with Yahaya et al (2021),

 Copyright © 2026 KIJSOSE All Rights Reserved

who established that poor rural transport systems significantly limit economic opportunities, and Sani et al. (2025), who similarly highlighted the role of rural roads in enabling socio-economic integration.

The study revealed that, poor road networks increase transaction costs and restrict mobility, while unreliable electricity reduces production capacity and income generation. The combination of both conditions creates a reinforcing cycle of economic limitation that affects all categories of rural economic actors. The findings reinforce core propositions in development economics, particularly the argument that infrastructure is a foundational determinant of economic efficiency and spatial equity. The results also extend this theory by demonstrating that infrastructure components do not operate independently; rather, their interaction produces compounded economic effects in rural settings.

Conclusion

This study examined the effect of road and energy infrastructure on the rural economy in Igabi Local Government Area (LGA) of Kaduna State, Nigeria. The findings provide clear and consistent evidence that infrastructural deficits remain a major constraint on rural economic development in the study area. The socio-economic profile revealed a predominantly agrarian population, with most respondents relying on farming and small-scale trading for livelihood, yet operating under conditions of weak infrastructure support. The study established that road infrastructure in Igabi LGA is largely inadequate, with most respondents reporting poor road conditions and difficulty in accessing markets. This situation has translated into higher transportation costs, post-harvest losses, and reduced participation in market activities. Similarly, energy infrastructure was found to be highly unreliable, with a large proportion of respondents experiencing irregular or no electricity supply. This has significantly reduced business productivity, constrained income generation, and increased dependence on alternative energy sources.

Importantly, the inferential analysis confirmed a statistically significant relationship between road infrastructure and market access, reinforcing the argument that infrastructure quality directly influences rural economic participation. The combined effect of poor roads and unreliable electricity creates a reinforcing cycle of economic limitation that affects productivity, mobility, and household welfare. The study demonstrates that road and energy infrastructure are not merely supportive elements of rural development but central determinants of economic performance in Igabi LGA.

Recommendations

Based on the empirical findings, this study recommends a coordinated infrastructure intervention strategy targeted at relevant government agencies, particularly the Federal Ministry of Works and Housing, the Rural Electrification Agency (REA), and the Kaduna State Ministry of Works, Housing and Infrastructure Development.

First, the Federal Ministry of Works and Housing, in collaboration with the Kaduna State Government, should prioritize the rehabilitation and upgrading of feeder roads linking the selected wards in Igabi LGA—Afaka, Birnin Yero, Gadan Gayan, Gwaraji, Kerawa, and Sabon Birnin Daji—to major market centres. The recommended strategy is a routine maintenance and phased reconstruction model, where roads are first graded and later upgraded to all-weather asphalt standards. This should be supported by community-based monitoring mechanisms to ensure accountability and sustainability. The expected outcome of

 Copyright © 2026 KIJSOSE All Rights Reserved

this intervention is a significant reduction in transportation costs, improved market accessibility, reduced post-harvest losses, and enhanced rural income levels.

Second, the Rural Electrification Agency (REA) should intensify the deployment of decentralized renewable energy solutions, particularly solar mini-grids and standalone solar home systems, across the selected rural wards. Given the instability of grid electricity, a hybrid energy strategy combining limited grid expansion with off-grid renewable systems is recommended. This approach should prioritize agro-processing clusters, small businesses, and rural service centres. The expected result is improved business productivity, increased working hours for enterprises, reduced dependence on costly alternative energy sources, and enhanced household welfare.

Finally, effective implementation requires strengthened coordination between federal, state, and local government agencies through a joint rural infrastructure development framework. This framework should include periodic needs assessments, transparent project tracking systems, and community participation in monitoring infrastructure projects. The anticipated outcome is improved policy efficiency, reduced infrastructural decay, and sustained rural economic growth.

References

Abdulkadir, M. S. (2025a). Divided we stand: Disconnect from the traditional party politics of the past in Kaduna State, 2015–2023. *Journal of Humanities and Social Science*. https://berkeleypublications.com/bjhss/article/view/473

Abdullahi, A. H., Ahmed, A., Umar, J. H., Yusuf, Z., Ajobunu, S. I., & Abashiya, D. O. (2024). Spatial analysis of site suitability for solar photovoltaic plants in Kaduna metropolis, Kaduna State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Science for Global Sustainability, 10*(4).

Abdullahi, N. (2025). An analysis of the effects of peri-urban encroachment on land tenure in Kaduna metropolis, Northern Nigeria. Retrieved from https://fud.edu.ng/journals/dujopas/2020_March_Vol_6_No_1/24.pdf

Adigwe, A. N., Ja'e, H. Y., Buba, A., Nasir, T. O., & Bala, A. (2025a). Assessment of socio-economic effects of epileptic electricity supply in Igabi Local Government of Kaduna State, Nigeria. *Journal of Public Administration, Policy and Governance Research, 3*(2), 1–10.

Adeyemi-Kayode, T. M., Misra, S., & Damaševičius, R. (2021). Impact analysis of renewable energy-based generation in West Africa: A case study of Nigeria. *Problemy Ekorozwoju, 16*(1).

Habila, S. K. (2021). Management options for selected peri-urban areas of Kaduna metropolis, Kaduna State, Nigeria. In *Proceedings of the School of Environmental Technology International Conference (SETIC 2020)*.

Muhammed, A. Y., Sulaiman, S., Bello, S., Abdulkadir, H., & Baba, S. U. (2023). Resilient infrastructure: Impacts of roads on population and economic growth in Kaduna metropolis, Kaduna State, Nigeria. *FUDMA Journal of Sciences, 7*(3), 127–132.

Musa, J. J., Otuaro, E. A., Musa, M. T., Dada, P. O. O., & Musa, E. T. (2020). Evaluation of temporal changes in deep well water quality in Igabi Local Government Area of Kaduna State. Retrieved from http://irepo.futminna.edu.ng:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/2628

Nicolle, H., Sempere, J.-D., Pfister, L., Ojwang, R., & Vezzoli, S. (2024). *Decisions to stay and migrate in Algeria, Ethiopia and Nigeria* (Doctoral dissertation, Erasmus University Rotterdam).

 Copyright © 2026 KIJSOSE All Rights Reserved

Saheed, Z. C., & Obianuju, C. K. (2021). Assessment of the effect of socio-economic infrastructure on rural economy in Kaduna State, Nigeria. *European Scientific Journal, 17*(15), 157.

Sani, A., Odoh, A., Isa, M. K., & Ibrahim, U. (2022). Effects of rural roads on access to socio-economic services and opportunities in Kaduna State, Nigeria. In *Sustainable transformation of public and corporate management in the digital age: Perspectives, challenges & prospects* (pp. 458).

Umar, A., Mukhtar, A. A., Abubakar, M. L., & Abdussalam, A. F. (2025). Assessing sustainable environmental practices and carbon footprint awareness among urban dwellers in Kaduna metropolis. *Science World Journal*, *20*(2), 639–646.

Ubale, M. L., & Benjamin, O. (2023). Impact of urbanization on water resources of Kaduna State, Nigeria. Retrieved from https://www.cambridgenigeriapub.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/CJERES_VOL27_NO4_MAR-2023-17.pdf

Yahaya, A. M., Salahudeen, H., & Zubairu, D. (2021). Building a resilient infrastructure: Challenges of rural transportation in Soba Local Government Area, Kaduna State, Nigeria. *Journal of Governance and Accountability Studies, 1*(1), 69–82.

 Copyright © 2026 KIJSOSE All Rights Reserved